Florida Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program

Depth Analysis Atlas

Gulf County

Volume 9-2

Book 2 of 5

Florida Division of Emergency Management

Apalachee Florida Regional Council

Apalachee Florida Region



2014

Includes Hurricane Evacuation Study

Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program

Volume 9-2

Depth Analysis Atlas

Book 2 – Gulf County

Prepared by

APALACHEE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

APALACHEE REGION DEPTH ANALYSIS ATLAS

Volume 9-2
Book 2
Gulf County

This Book is part of Volume 9 of the ***Statewide Regional Evacuation Study*** (SRES) Program and one of five county books in the Apalachee Region Surge Depth Atlas Series. This book covers Gulf County; while Books 1 and 3-5 cover Franklin, Leon, Jefferson and Wakulla Counties respectively. The atlas maps identify those areas subject to potential surge flooding from the five categories of hurricane on the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale as determined by the NOAA's numerical storm surge model, SLOSH.

The Depth Analysis Atlas, in conjunction with the Storm Tide Atlas, is the foundation of the hazards analysis for surge and a key component of the SRES. The Technical Data Report, Volume 1 of the SRES, builds upon this analysis and includes the revised evacuation zones and population estimates, results of the evacuation behavioral data, shelter analysis and evacuation transportation analyses. The Study, which provides vital information to state and local emergency management, forms the basis for county evacuation plans.

The Atlas was produced by the Apalachee Regional Planning Council with funding by the Florida Legislature and the Federal Emergency Management Agency through the Florida Division of Emergency Management.

This Atlas was prepared and published by the Apalachee Regional Planning Council,
2507 Callaway Road, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Fl 32303
Telephone: 850-488-6211 Facsimile: 850-488-6211:
Email: dimbler@thearpc.com Website: www.thearpc.com

CREDITS & ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for the 2014 update to the Study was provided by the State of Florida Legislature through the Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM). Funding was also provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency with all funds administered by FDEM. Local match was provided by Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty and Wakulla counties.

The Council acknowledges and extends its appreciation to the following agencies and people for their cooperation and assistance in development of this Study:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA/TPC-NHC) for the SLOSH numerical storm surge model developed by the late Chester L. Jelesnianski, the development of the 2013 Apalachee Bay Basin under the management of Jaime Rhome, and for the storm tide computation and interpretation provided by the NOAA Storm Surge Modeling team.

Florida Division of Emergency Management
Bryan Koon, Director
Andrew Sussman, Hurricane Program Manager
Richard Butgereit, GIS Manager

Florida Emergency Preparedness Association
For their support of this statewide effort

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Jamie Rhome, National Hurricane Center
Jeffrey Alexander, Project Manager

County Emergency Management Agencies
Angie Smith, Calhoun County
Pamela Brownell, Franklin County
Shawn Wood, Gadsden County
Marshall Nelson, Gulf County
Rodney Andreason, Jackson County
Carol Ellerbe, Jefferson County
Kevin Peters, Leon County
Rhonda Lewis, Liberty County
Scott Nelson, Wakulla County

Table of Contents

A. Background 1
B. SLOSH Model 1
1. Hypothetical Storm Simulations 2
2. Grid for the Apalachicola Bay Basin SLOSH Model 4
3. Storm Scenario Determinations 4
C. Creation of Surge Depth Zones 6
1. Determining Surge Depth Height and Flooding Depth 6
2. Surge Depth Post-Processing 6
D. Variations to Consider 9
1. Surge Depth and Wave Height 9
2. Forward Speed 9
3. Astronomical Tides 9
4. Accuracy 9
E. Points of Reference 10
F. Depth of Surge Maps 12
G. Notes on Surge Depth Ranges 14

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1	Saffir-Simspson Hurricane Wind Scale	2
2	Apalachicola Bay Basin Hypothetical Storm Parameters	3
3	Potential Storm Surge Height(s) by County	5
4	Points of Reference	11

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
1	Apalachicola Bay Basin SLOSH Grid	4
2	SLOSH Grid Comparison	4
3	Digital Elevation from LIDAR	6
4	SLOSH Display	7
5	SLOSH Display Post Processing	7
6	Category 5 Surge Depth for Gulf County	8
7	Atlas Map Index	13

List of Maps

Category 1 Depth Maps 15
Category 2 Depth Maps 82
Category 3 Depth Maps 154
Category 4 Depth Maps 237
Category 5 Depth Maps 328

SURGE DEPTH ATLAS
BOOK 2 – GULF COUNTY

A. Introduction

A comprehensive emergency management program requires attention to four (4) key inter-related components: preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation. Preparing and avoiding or reducing potential loss of life and property damage - preparedness and mitigation - requires accurate and precise hazard and vulnerability analyses. These analyses are the foundation for evacuation and disaster response planning, as well as the development of local mitigation strategies designed to reduce the community's overall risk to disasters. This Atlas series provides information to state, county and local emergency management officials and planners for use in hurricane preparedness and coastal management in the Apalachicola Bay area. It is part of a statewide effort to enhance the ability to respond to a hurricane threat, facilitate the evacuation of vulnerable residents to a point of relative safety and mitigate our vulnerability in the future. The Statewide Regional Evacuation Study (SRES) Program provides a consistent, coordinated and improved approach to addressing the state and regional vulnerability to the hurricane threat.

The specific purpose of this Atlas is to provide maps which depict storm surge flood depths from hurricanes of five different intensities in the Apalachicola Bay area. In 2013 the Apalachicola Bay Basin was updated and the Surge Depth Atlas was updated in 2014 by the Apalachee Regional Planning Council based on the most recent data. The Study is a cooperative effort of the Florida Division of Emergency Management, the Florida Regional Planning Councils and the county emergency management agencies.



B. SLOSH Model

The principal tool used for analyzing the expected hazards from potential hurricanes affecting the study area is the Sea, Lake and Overland Surges from Hurricane (SLOSH) numerical storm surge prediction model. The SLOSH computerized model predicts the storm tide heights that result from hypothetical hurricanes with selected various combinations of pressure, size, forward speed, track and winds. Originally developed for use by the National Hurricane Center (NHC) as a tool to give geographically specific warnings of expected surge heights during the approach of hurricanes, the SLOSH model is utilized in regional studies for several key hazard and vulnerability analyses.

The SLOSH modeling system consists of the model source code and the model basin or grid. SLOSH model grids must be developed for each specific geographic coastal area individually incorporating the unique local bay and river configuration, water depths, bridges, roads and other physical features. In addition to open coastline heights, one of the most valuable outputs of the SLOSH model for evacuation planning is its predictions of surge heights over land into inland areas.

The first SLOSH model for the Apalachicola Bay Basin was completed in 1984. The model was developed by the Techniques Development Lab of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) under the direction of the late Dr. Chester P. Jelesnianski. In March 1997 the NHC updated the SLOSH model for the Apalachicola Bay basin. A major improvement to the model was the incorporation of wind speed degradation overland as the simulated storms moved inland. This duplicated the pressure "filling" and increases in the radii of maximum winds (RMW) as the hurricanes weaken after making landfall. The grid configuration also provided more detail and additional information including storm tide projections.

In 2013 the NHC updated the SLOSH grid for the Apalachicola Bay Basin. The updated SLOSH model basin reflects major improvements, including higher resolution basin data and grid configurations and increased geographic area covered. Faster computer speeds allowed additional hypothetical storms to be run for creation of the Maximum of Maximums[1] (MOMs) or the maximum potential storm tide values for each category of storm.

1. Hypothetical Storm Simulations

Surge height depends strongly on the specifics of a given storm including, forward speed, angle of approach, intensity or maximum wind speed, storm size, storm shape, and landfall location. The SLOSH model was used to develop data for various combinations of hurricane strength, wind speed, and direction of movement. Storm strength was modeled using the central pressure (defined as the difference between the ambient sea level pressure and the minimum value in the storm's center), the storm eye size and the radius of maximum winds using the five categories of hurricane intensity as depicted in the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale (see Table 1).

Table 1
Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale

Category	Wind Speeds	Potential Damage
Category 1	(Sustained winds 74-95 mph)	*Very dangerous winds will produce some damage*
Category 2	(Sustained winds 96-110 mph)	*Extremely dangerous winds will cause extensive damage*
Category 3	(Sustained winds 111-130 mph)	*Devastating damage will occur*
Category 4	(Sustained winds 131-155 mph)	*Catastrophic damage will occur*
Category 5	(Sustained winds of 156 mph and above)	*Catastrophic damage will occur*

The modeling for each tropical storm/hurricane category was conducted using the mid-range pressure difference ($\triangle$p, millibars) for that category. The model also simulates the storm filling (weakening upon landfall) and radius of maximum winds (RMW) increase.

1 Maximum of Maximum Envelope of Water (MOM)

Nine storm track headings (W, WNW, NW, NNW, N, NNE, NE, E, ENE) were selected as being representative of storm behavior in the West Central Florida regions, based on observations by forecasters at the NHC. For each set of hurricane tracks in a specific direction, storms were run at forward speeds of 5, 10, 15 and 25 mph. For each direction of approaching storm at each speed, storms were run at two different sizes (20 statute mile radius of maximum winds and 35 statute miles radius of maximum winds). Finally, each scenario was run at both mean tide and high tide. Both tide levels are now referenced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) as opposed to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29) used in the previous study. A total of 14,454 runs (compared to the 12,000 runs in 2009) were made consisting of the different parameters shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Apalachicola Bay Basin Hypothetical Storm Parameters
Directions, speeds, (Saffir-Simpson) intensities, number of tracks and the number of runs.

Direction	Speeds (mph)	Size (Radius of Maximum winds)	Intensity	Tides	Tracks	Runs
W	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	13	858
WNW	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	14	924
NW	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	16	1056
NNW	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	34	2244
N	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	35	2310
NNE	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	34	2244
NE	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	32	2112
ENE	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	28	1848
E	5,10,15, 25 mph	20 statute miles; 35 statute miles	1 through 5	Mean/High	13	858
TOTAL						14,454

2. The Grid for the Apalachicola Bay Basin SLOSH Model

Figure 1 illustrates the area covered by the grid for the Apalachicola Bay Basin SLOSH Model. To determine the surge values the SLOSH model uses a telescoping elliptical grid as its unit of analysis with 188 arc lengths (1<I>188) and 215 radials (1<J> 215). Use of the grid configuration allows for individual calculations per grid square which is beneficial in two ways: (1) provides increased resolution of the storm surge at the coastline and inside the harbors, bays and rivers, while decreasing the resolution in the deep water where detail is not as important; and (2) allows economy in computation.



Figure 1 - SLOSH Grid

The Apalachicola Bay Basin was refined and enlarged in 2013, see Figure 2. The grid for the updated basin contains twice as many arc lengths and radials as the original grid. This means that the updated basin has a smaller grid size, 0.05 square miles closest to the pole versus 0.20 square miles, which increases the total number of grid polygons from 5,355 to 31,360.



Figure 2 SLOSH Grid Comparison

3. Storm Scenario Determinations

As indicated, the SLOSH model is the basis for the "hazard analysis" portion of coastal hurricane evacuation plans. Thousands of hypothetical hurricanes are simulated with various Saffir-Simpson Wind categories, forward speeds, landfall directions, and landfall locations. An envelope of high water containing the maximum value a grid cell attains is generated at the end of each model run. These envelopes are combined by the NHC into various composites which depict the possible flooding. One useful composite is the Maximum Envelope of Water (MEOW) which incorporates all the envelopes for a particular category, speed, and landfall direction. Once surge heights have been determined for the appropriate grids, the maximum surge heights are plotted by storm track and tropical storm/hurricane category. These plots of maximum surge heights for a given storm category and track are referred to

as MEOWs. The MEOWs or reference hurricanes can be used in evacuation decision making when and if sufficient forecast information is available to project storm track or type of storm (different landfalling or paralleling storms).

The MEOWs provide information to the emergency managers in evacuation decision making. However, in order to determine a scenario which may confront the county in a hurricane threat 24-48 hours before a storm is expected, a further compositing of the MEOWs into Maximums of the Maximums (MOMs) is usually required.

The MOM combines all the MEOWs of a particular category. The MOMs represent the maximum surge expected to occur at any given location, regardless of the specific_storm track/direction of the hurricane. The only variable is the intensity of the hurricane represented by category strength (Category 1-5).

The MOM surge heights, which were furnished by the NHC, have two values, mean tide and high tide. Mean tide has 0' tide correction while high tide has a 1' tide correction added. The storm tide limits include the adjustment for mean high tide. All elevations are now referenced to the NAVD88 datum.

These surge heights were provided within the SLOSH grid system as illustrated on Figure 2. The range of maximum surge heights (low to high) for each scenario is provided for each category of storm (MOM) on Table 3. In coordination with the National Weather Service Office – Tallahassee, surge heights and corresponding evacuation zones were determined to assist emergency managers more precisely plan evacuations. It should be noted again that these surge heights represent the maximum surge height recorded in the county from the storm tide analysis including inland and back bay areas where the surge can be magnified dependent upon storm parameters.

Table 3
Potential Storm Surge Height(s)* and Evacuation Zones by County
(In Feet above NAVD88)

*Max Surge Heights	Franklin	Gulf	Wakulla	Jefferson	Leon
6 – 8'	A	A	A	N/A	N/A
9 – 15'	B	B	B	A	N/A
16 – 20'	C	B	C	B	N/A
21 – 26'	Evacuated	C	Evacuated	B	N/A
26 – 30	Evacuated	Evacuated	Evacuated	C	C
30+	Evacuated	Evacuated	Evacuated	D	D

** Surge heights represent the maximum values from selected SLOSH MOMs*

C. Creation of Surge Depth Zones

The maps in this atlas depict SLOSH-modeled depth of surge and extent of flood inundation for hurricanes of five different intensities. As indicate above, the surge depth was modeled using the Maximum of Maximums (MOMs) representing the potential flooding from the five categories of storm intensity of the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale.

1. Determining Surge Depth Height and Flooding Depth

SLOSH and SLOSH-related products reference surge heights relative to the model vertical datum, NAVD88. In order to determine the inundation depth of surge flooding at a particular location the ground elevation (relative to NAVD88) at that location must be subtracted from the potential surge height.[2] Surge elevation, or water height, is the output of the SLOSH model. The maximum surge height is computed at each SLOSH grid point. Within the SLOSH model an average elevation is assumed within each grid square. Height of water above terrain was not calculated using the SLOSH average grid elevation because terrain height may vary significantly within a SLOSH grid square. For example, the altitude of a 1-mile grid square may be assigned a value of 1.8 meters (6 feet), but this value represents an average of land heights that may include values ranging from 0.9 to 2.7 meters (3 to 9 feet). In this case, a surge value of 2.5 meters (8 feet) in this square would imply a 0.7 meters (2 feet) average depth of water over the grid's terrain. In reality, areas within the grid would be "dry" while some areas could experience as much as 1.5 meters (5 feet) of inundation. Therefore, in order to determine the surge inundation limits, the depth of surge flooding above terrain at a specific site in the grid square is the result of subtracting the terrain height determined by remote sensing from the model-generated storm tide height in that grid square. [3]



Figure 3 Digital Elevation from LIDAR

2. Depth of Surge Post-Processing

The Atlas was created using a toolset wrapped into ESRI's ArcGIS mapping application, ArcMap. The surge tool was developed for the SRES Program by the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council to fulfill decision making needs of the emergency management community. This tool enables all regions within the state of Florida to process the SLOSH and elevation data with a consistent methodology.

---

2 It is important to note that one must use a consistent vertical datum when post-processing SLOSH storm surge values

3 Note: This represents the regional post-processing procedure. When users view SLOSH output within the SLOSH Display Program, the system uses average grid cell height when subtracting land.



Figure 4 SLOSH Display

The tool translates the lower resolution SLOSH grid data into a smooth surface resembling actual surge depth and terrain, processing it with the high resolution elevation data derived from LIDAR[4]. The image on the left represents how the data would look as it appears directly from SLOSH Model output.

Processing all the data in the raster realm, the tool is able to digest large amounts of data and output detailed representations of surge inundation.

The program first interpolates the SLOSH height values for each category into a raster surface using spline interpolation. This type of interpolation is best for smooth surfaces, such as water and slow changing terrain. The result is a raster surface representing the surge height for a category that can be processed against the raster digital elevation model from the LIDAR. The "dry" values (represented as 99.9 in the SLOSH Model) are replaced by an average of the inundated grids surrounding current processed grid. An algorithm performs this action utilizing the range of values in the current category of storm being processed.

Using this methodology, once the elevation is subtracted from the projected surge height, the depth of surge limits are determined. The output of the tool is a polygon file holding all of the depth classification strata for a particular storm category. The output, depicted in this Atlas, differs from the inundation tool output because each map holds information for only one category of hurricane.



Figure 5 SLOSH Display Post Processing

Figure 6 presents a compilation of the *Depth Analysis Atlas* for the region, illustrating the Category 5 Depth Analysis.

4 Light Imaging Detection and Ranging

Figure 6

Category 5 Surge Depth for Gulf County



D. Variations to Consider

Variations between modeled versus actual measured depth of surge values are typical of current technology in coastal storm surge modeling. In interpreting the data emergency planners should recognize the uncertainties characteristic of mathematical models and severe weather systems such as hurricanes. The depth of surge values developed for this study and presented in the *Depth Analysis Atlas* should be used as guideline information for planning purposes.

1. Surge Depth & Wave Height



Regarding interpretation of the data, it is important to understand that the configuration and depth (bathymetry) of the Atlantic Ocean sea floor will have a bearing on surge and wave heights. A narrow shelf, or one that drops steeply from the shoreline and subsequently produces deep water in close proximity to the shoreline, tends to produce a lower surge but a higher and more powerful wave. Those regions, like the Apalachee Bay Region, which have a gently sloping shelf and shallower normal water depths, can expect a higher surge but smaller waves. The reason this occurs is because a surge in deeper water can be dispersed down and out away from the hurricane. However, once that surge reaches a shallow gently sloping shelf it can no longer be dispersed away from the hurricane, consequently water piles up as it is driven ashore by the wind stresses of the hurricane. Wave height is NOT calculated by the SLOSH model and is not reflected within the depth of surge delineations.

2. Forward Speed

Under actual storm conditions it may be expected that a hurricane moving at a slower speed could have higher coastal storm tides and correspondingly increased depth of surge than those depicted from model results. At the same time, a fast moving hurricane would have less time to move storm surge water up river courses to more inland areas.

3. Astronomical Tides

Surge heights were provided by NOAA for both mean tide and high tide. Both tide levels are referenced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988. The surge depth limits reflect high tide in the region.

4. Accuracy

As part of the SRES, all coastal areas as well as areas surrounding Lake Okeechobee were mapped using remote-sensing laser terrain mapping (LIDAR), providing the most comprehensive, accurate and precise topographic data for this analysis. As a general rule, the vertical accuracy of the laser mapping is within a 15 centimeter tolerance. However, it should be noted that the accuracy of these elevations is limited to the precision and tolerance in which the horizontal accuracy for any given point is recorded. Other factors such as artifact removal algorithms (that remove buildings and trees) can affect the recorded elevation in a particular location. For the purposes of this study, the horizontal accuracy cannot be assumed to be greater than that of a standard USGS 7 minute quadrangle map, or a scale of 1:24,000.

E. Points of Reference

County emergency management directors selected reference points which include key facilities or locations critical for emergency operations. The table below includes the map identification number, descriptions of the selected points and the elevation of the site. The elevation is based on the digital elevation data provided by the LIDAR. It should be noted that if the site is large, elevations may vary significantly. The table also provides the storm tide value from the SLOSH value and the depth of inundation (storm tide value minus the ground elevation) at the site.

Table 4
Points of Reference

NAME	Elevation	C1 DPTH[5]	C2 DPTH	C3 DPTH	C4 DPTH	C5 DPTH	C1 SURGE[6]	C2 SURGE	C3 SURGE	C4 SURGE	C5 SURGE
Ochlocknee River Bridge (98)	6.0	2.9	8.3	12.9	17.1	21.0	8.9	14.3	18.9	23.1	27.0
Ochlocknee River Bridge (319)	6.0	1.6	7.8	12.9	17.4	22.0	7.6	13.8	18.9	23.4	28.0
Sopchoppy River Bridge (N side)	6.0	1.6	7.8	12.9	17.4	22.0	7.6	13.8	18.9	23.4	28.0
Wakulla River North Bridge	2.0	6.0	13.0	21.0	27.2	32.0	8.0	15.0	23.0	29.2	34.0
Wakulla River South Bridge (98)	3.0	5.1	13.9	19.5	25.4	30.0	8.1	16.9	22.5	28.4	33.0
St. Marks River Bridge (98)	6.0	0.0	7.9	17.3	23.4	28.0	Dry	13.9	23.3	29.4	34.0
Wakulla County EOC	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	33.0
Purdom Power Plant	1.0	9.2	16.3	21.7	26.5	31.0	10.2	17.3	22.7	27.5	32.0
Eden Springs ALF	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
Crawfordville Elementary	42.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
Riversink Elementary	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	24.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	22.5	32.0
St. Marks Powder	9.0	0.0	8.0	13.7	19.4	24.0	8.7	17.0	22.7	28.4	33.0
John Gorrie Bridge (E side)	10.0	0.0	0.6	4.5	7.8	11.0	5.7	10.6	14.5	17.8	21.0
St. George Island Bridge (N side)	4.0	2.8	6.6	10.7	14.3	17.0	6.8	10.6	14.7	18.3	21.0
St. George Island Bridge (S side)	6.0	0.2	4.0	7.4	10.9	14.0	6.2	10.0	13.4	16.9	20.0
Ochlocknee River Bridge (98)	3.0	5.2	11.2	15.6	19.7	24.0	8.2	14.2	18.6	22.7	27.0
Ochlocknee River Bridge (319)	1.0	6.6	12.8	17.9	22.4	27.0	7.6	13.8	18.9	23.4	28.0
Weems Hospital	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	3.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	17.3	20.0
Franklin County Sheriff's Office	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	18.1	22.0
Franklin County EOC	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	5.0	Dry	Dry	Dry	17.2	20.0
Intercoastal Bridge (S side)	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	13.1	Dry	Dry	Dry	15.3	20.0
Overstreet Bridge (W side)	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.8	Dry	Dry	Dry	11.2	12.0
Sacred Heart Hospital	12.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	12.2	16.0	Dry	Dry	11.4	14.2	18.0
Gulf County EOC	16.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	18.0

5 DPTH refers to the depth of inundation at the site (storm surge value minus the ground elevation)
6 SURGE refers to the storm surge value from the SLOSH Model

F. Depth of Surge Maps

The depth of surge ranges (MOM surge heights minus the ground elevations) are provided as GIS shape files and graphically displayed. The maps consist of base maps (1:24000) including topographic, hydrographic and highway files (updated using 2008 county and state highway data). Detailed shoreline and depth of surge ranges for each category of storm were determined using the region's geographic information system.

The purpose of the maps contained in this Atlas is to reflect a worst probable scenario of the hurricane surge depth possibilities and to provide a basis for determining the hurricane evacuation zones and vulnerability analyses. While the depth of surge delineations include the addition of an astronomical mean high tide and tidal anomaly, it should be noted that the data reflects only stillwater saltwater flooding. Local processes such as waves, rainfall and flooding from overflowing rivers, are usually included in observations of storm tide height, but are not surge and are not calculated by the SLOSH model. It is incumbent upon local emergency management officials and planners to estimate the degree and extent of freshwater flooding as well as to determine the magnitude of the waves that will accompany the surge.

Figure 7 provides an index of the map series for Gulf County.

Figure 7
Atlas Map Index – Gulf County



G. Notes on Surge Depth Ranges

Historically the SLOSH storm surge analysis focused on "average" storm parameters (size and forward speed), although the intensity and angle of approach was modeled to include direct strikes and catastrophic intensity. In the 2014 Regional Evacuation Study Update, over 14,000 hypothetical hurricanes were included in the SLOSH suite of storms modeled varying forward speeds and the radii of maximum winds to include the large storm events. This allowed for the development of a truer picture of the storm surge vulnerability in the region. The five categories of hurricane reflect a "worst probable" storm tide limit for hurricanes holding the wind speed constant (consistent with the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale) while varying storm parameters include size, forward speed and angle of approach.

This has led to some confusion regarding evacuation decision making since hurricane evacuations are based primarily on storm surge vulnerability. NOAA is working to enhance the analysis and prediction of storm surge. Direct estimates of inundation are being communicated in the NHC's Public Advisories and in the weather forecast office's hurricane local statements. NHC's probabilistic storm surge product, which provides the likelihood of a specific range of storm surge values, became operational in 2009, and the NWS Meteorological Development Laboratory provided experimental, probabilistic storm surge products for 2010. Finally, the NWS is developing a procedure for issuing explicit storm surge warnings which will be implemented in 2015. In all of these efforts, the NWS is working to provide specific and quantitative information to support decision-making at the local level.[7] NOAA continues to emphasize that the hurricane forecasts are not 100% accurate and dependent upon many factors.

Below are the surge depth ranges identified for the five (5) categories of hurricanes on the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale. It is important to recognize the following:

- The surge tide values represent the highest surge height elevation above a standard datum (NAVD88) predicted by the model in the entire county and will only be appropriate for selected areas.
- Typically the highest surge tide values are NOT the surge heights predicted at the coast. The highest storm tide values are typically experienced inside bays and up rivers and inlets (water above ground).
- For surge heights at specific locations, please refer to the maps at the end of this Atlas.

Legend

- 0 - 0.5 ft
- 0.5 - 1.5 ft
- 1.5 - 3 ft
- 3 - 5 ft
- 5 - 7 ft
- 7 - 10 ft
- 10 - 15 ft
- 15 - 20 ft
- 20 - 42 ft

7 www.nhc.noaa.gov/sshws_statements.shtml